Kirti,

I think I sent you an older document yesterday, I was going over this with Stefano yesterday and realized the differences are actually in the Ambrosia section.  The regression is a bit different than the other analyses that were fit to a statistical distribution, but because the data was taken in a completely different way, I think the approach of fitting it to the regression is actually not that useful except the average stage at a particular time, it doesn’t show the variability well compared to looking simply at the center 90% of the distribution that they saw in the field.

Vince